## **Appendix 1- Draft Priority Setting Framework**

	Score 3 (issue scores high)	Score 2 (issue scores medium)	Score 1 (issue scores low)	Score 0 (issue scores zero)
The Issue is listed as a priority in the JSNA	The issue is identified as a priority in the JSNA			The issue is not identified as a priority in the JSNA
2. The issue is a partner priority (e.g. CCG, local authority, etc)	Issue is flagged up in the majority of partner priorities	Issue is flagged up in several partner priorities	Issue is flagged up in a small number of partner priorities	Issue is not flagged up in any partner priorities
Stakeholder, resident or service user views (incl local or national political views)	Opinion is that there is a high need in this area	There is high concern at a very local level/for a specific group of population in this area	There has been a low level of concern in this area	The issue has not been raised as a concern
4. Addressing the issue provides value for money	Low cost and high effectiveness	High cost and high effectiveness	Low cost and low effectiveness	High cost but low effectiveness
5. There are national targets or statutory and legal duties to address the issue	There is a statutory duty to deliver services to address the issue	There are national targets/outcomes related to this issue	There are local targets related to this issue	There are no statutory duties to deliver services in relation to the issue raised
6. Does this help to 'narrow the gap' & reduce inequalities?	Intervention from the board would be highly beneficial to the whole population	Intervention from the board would be most beneficial to a specific group of the population	Intervention from the board would have a limited impact	It is unlikely that the board through its collective efforts could assist in this area
7. Is it a cross-cutting issue involving services across the Council, Health and wider stakeholders?	The issue involves several organisations			One organisation delivers the service

8. Is there evidence of significant variations in service?	There is evidence of significant variations in service across the county	There is evidence of significant variations in service in a section of the county (such as a District area)	There are minor variations in service within the county	There is a consistent level of service across the county
9. Has a recent inspection/investigation flagged this up as an area of concern?	This issue has recently been highlighted as an area of significant concern	The issue has recently been flagged up as an area where there is some cause for concern	The issue has been flagged up as an area where there is some minor improvement needed	This issue has not been flagged up as an area of concern
10. Are there any reasons not to select this as a priority for the Health & Wellbeing Board at this time?				
10a) Is it already being tackled by some other means?	The issue is not being addressed by any other means	There is some work in progress to address this issue, however this does not provide consistent countywide coverage	There are significant interventions already in place to addressing this issue, however this does not provide consistent countywide coverage	There are a number of schemes/organisations already addressing this issue evenly spread across the county
10b) Is the situation unclear due to forthcoming legislation or changes currently taking place?	There are no legislative changes scheduled in this area	There are likely to be minor legislative changes in this area in the longterm	There are likely to be minor legislative changes in the short-term	There are likely to be major legislative changes in this area in the short-term

## Max points

> x points= high priority x-x points= medium priority < x points = low priority